	Case 2:21-cv-00916-DC-CSK Documen	t 76 Filed 02/07/25	Page 1 of 2
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	FELIPE POLANCO DIAZ,	No. 2:21-cv-00916-I	OC-CSK (PC)
12	Plaintiff,		
13	V.	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
14	MARIA TORCEDO,		
15	Defendant.	(Doc. Nos. 66, 68, 70	))
16			
17	Plaintiff Felipe Polanco Diaz is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis		
18	in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States		
19	Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.		
20	On December 19, 2024, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and		
21	recommendations recommending that Plaintiff's motions for reconsideration of the court's		
22	August 23, 2024 order denying Plaintiff's motion for injunctive relief (Doc. No. 66, 68) be		
23	denied, and to the extent those motions seek new injunctive relief, the magistrate judge likewise		
24	recommends those motions for injunctive relief be denied. (Doc. No. 70.) The magistrate judge		
25	further recommended Plaintiff's putative claim under the Americans with Disabilities Act		
26	("ADA") and motion for ADA accommodations (Doc. No. 68) be denied without prejudice.		
27	Those pending findings and recommendations were served on the parties and contained notice		
28	that any objections thereto were to be filed within fourteen (14) days after service. (Id. at 16.) To		
		1	

## Document 76 Filed 02/07/25 Page 2 of 2 1 date, no objections to the pending findings and recommendations have been filed, and the time in 2 which to do so has now passed. 3 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this court has conducted a de novo review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court concludes that the 4 5 findings and recommendations are supported by the record and by proper analysis. 6 Accordingly, 7 1. The findings and recommendations issued on December 19, 2024 (Doc. No. 70) are ADOPTED in full; 8 9 2. Plaintiff's motions for reconsideration and injunctive relief (Doc. Nos. 66, 68) are DENIED; 10 11 3. Plaintiff's putative ADA claim and motion for ADA accommodations (Doc. 12 No. 68) are DENIED without prejudice; and 13 4. This matter is referred back to the assigned magistrate judge for further 14 proceedings. 15 IT IS SO ORDERED. 16 Dated: February 6, 2025 17 Dena Coggins 18 United States District Judge 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Case 2:21-cv-00916-DC-CSK